

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,538	03/10/2004	Le-Jen Wang	CHEP0029USA	2537
27765	7590 02/11/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC)			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2833	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,538	WANG & TU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Renee S. Luebke	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	,			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	Office Action of form P10-152	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		anlication No			
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>					
application from the International Burea	•	received in this National Otage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

Application Number: 10/708,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. Contrary to paragraphs 11 and 15 (line 1), fig. 2 is not a schematic diagram."
- b. On line 7 of paragraph 15, should "34" be changed to -12-? It is noted that there is no "34" in the figures.
- c. In the penultimate line of paragraph 15, it appears that "on" should be deleted.
- d. In line 3 of paragraph 16, it appears that –a- should be inserted before "diagram."
  - e. Contrary to paragraph 16 member 16 appears to be a post, not "holes."
- f. The last sentence of paragraph 16b is grammatically incorrect, and may be missing text.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 3 lacks antecedent basis for "the shell."
- Contrary to claim 3, the dust preventive member does not enclose the shell. The dust-preventive member 42 of the present invention only covers two sides of the shell.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Application Number: 10/708,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harshberger, et al. This device comprises a housing 130; a circuit board 132; and a connection module 20 comprising an adapter 36 and a shell 30, 32, 34, 38. The adapter comprises a first set of male conducting terminals 92, etc. and a second set of male conducting terminals 88, etc. The data storage unit 22 comprises a second set of female terminals. Although member 22 is not stated to be a card, applicant has not placed any size limits on the card and therefore this member is seen to be a card, as claimed. This device comprises all of the claimed limitations; it is therefore seen to be "a photo printer" as claimed.

In regard to claim 3, member 110 is seen to be a dust-preventive member as it covers the shell.

- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harshberger, et al. External flash cards are now a common means of conveniently formatting replaceable data. Certainly the use of smaller media is well known since the invention described by Harshberger. Therefore, the use of an external flash card in place of the data storage unit of Harshberger is seen to have been an obvious matter of design choice.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kurihashi, et al., Yang and Yen are examples of similar adapters for small memory cards.
- 7. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

  Commissioner for Patents

  P.O. Box 1450

  Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number: 10/708,538 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (571) 272-2009.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

February 7, 2005